REMARKS

Claim Rejections

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, and rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Liao (U.S. 6,866,218 B2).

Drawings

It is noted that no Patent Drawing Review (Form PTO-948) was received with the outstanding Office Action. Thus, Applicant assumes that the drawings are acceptable as filed.

New Claims

By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 1-6 and has added new claims 7-12 to this application. It is believed that the new claims specifically set forth each element of Applicant's invention to remove the rejections and in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. §112. Additionally, the new claims define subject matter that is patentably distinguishable over the cited prior art, as discussed below.

The primary reference to Liao discloses a wire wounding box including a bottom cover 1, upper cover 2, rotating disk 3, spiral spring 4 and control button 5 (see, e.g., column 1, lines 5-9 and FIGs. 2-10).

However, Liao does not teach at least a movable member forming first and second recoiling grooves separately disposed at the outer periphery thereon, as well as forming a retaining chamber and including two opposing abutting blocks symmetrically protruding into the retaining chamber wherein one of the abutting blocks forms a tapered guide slope defining an inner lateral side thereon and an abutting facet disposed at one lateral end thereon (relevant to new claim 7). In addition, Liao fails to teach a movable member including a plurality of toothed edges defining the outer periphery thereon, and two recoiling gears at both lateral sides of the movable member having external toothed facets (relevant to new claim 12).

Application No. 10/805,265

It is axiomatic in U.S. patent law that, in order for a reference to anticipate a claimed structure, it must clearly disclose each and every feature of the claimed structure. Applicant submits that it is abundantly clear, as discussed above, that Liao does not disclose each and every feature of Applicant's claims and, therefore, could not possibly anticipate these claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Absent a specific showing of these features, Liao cannot be said to anticipate any of Applicant's claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

It is further submitted that Liao does not disclose, or suggest any modification of the specifically disclosed structures that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at Applicant's claimed structure. Thus, it is not believed that Liao renders obvious any of Applicant's new claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Application No. 10/805,265

Summary

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 27, 2006

By:

Bruce H. Troxell Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC 5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404 Falls Church, Virginia 22041 Telephone: 703 575-2711

Telefax:

703 575-2707